

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION

Case No.: 17-MD-2804

This document relates to:

Judge: Dan Aaron Polster

City of Rochester v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., No. 19-op-45853 (Track 12)
Lincoln County v. Richard Sackler, M.D., No. 20-op-45069 (Track 13)
City of Independence, Missouri v. Williams, No. 1:19-op-45371
County of Webb v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., No. 18-op-45175 (Track 15)

**[PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER
REGARDING IDENTITIES OF
MEMBERS OF OPTUMRX'S
PHARMACY AND THERAPEUTICS
COMMITTEE**

Discovery in Tracks 12–15 may involve confidential and private information regarding the identities of members of the Pharmacy & Therapeutics (“P&T”) Committee of Defendant OptumRx, Inc. The Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee in MDL 2804 (the “PEC”) and OptumRx hereby stipulate to and petition the Court to enter the following Protective Order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) regarding the identities of members of OptumRx’s P&T Committee.

1. OptumRx may redact, consistent with the parties’ stipulation, identifying information of current members of OptumRx’s P&T Committee in documents or other materials it produces in this MDL. OptumRx may also withhold such identifying information from responses to interrogatories or other discovery requests, and OptumRx may instruct witnesses at depositions not to provide answers that would reveal the identity of current members of OptumRx’s P&T Committee, consistent with the parties’ stipulation.

2. OptumRx may continue redacting identifying information of any current or former member of UnitedHealthcare’s separate P&T Committee that appear in documents, transcripts, or other materials. OptumRx may also withhold that identifying information from responses to interrogatories or other discovery requests, and OptumRx may instruct witnesses at depositions

not to provide answers that would reveal the identity of current or former members of UnitedHealthcare's P&T Committee.

3. Any redactions of identifying information under paragraphs 1 or 2 will either be identified in the production image itself or logged on a redaction log in accordance with the orders governing redaction logs in this MDL (Dkt. No. 2882).

4. At the PEC's request, OptumRx will provide to the Special Master, for review *in camera*, a list of the current members of OptumRx's P&T Committee to compare to the lists of key opinion leaders ("KOLs") for opioid manufacturers and/or lists of other similar opioid-related work or affiliations (e.g., work or affiliation with opioid "front groups", identification as a high-prescriber of opioids).

5. If the PEC identifies a particularized need to learn the identity of a specific current member of OptumRx's P&T Committee, the PEC and OptumRx will meet and confer to determine whether disclosure of that member's identity is warranted. If the parties cannot agree, the PEC may seek judicial resolution under the normal rules governing discovery disputes in this MDL (namely, disputes may be brought to the Special Master, and parties have the right to object to any ruling by the Special Master and seek *de novo* review by the Court), understanding that the PEC would reference Special Master Cohen's 3/28/2024 ruling (although it would not be binding for purposes of disputes raised pursuant to this paragraph), and that nothing in this paragraph alters the burden of proof. Any disclosure of a current P&T Committee member's identity pursuant to this paragraph (whether as a result of agreement by the parties or as a result of judicial resolution of a dispute) is subject to the confidentiality protections in paragraph 6.

6. Any documents, transcripts, or other materials produced in the MDL that contain unredacted identifying information of current or former members of OptumRx's P&T Committee

must be held in the strictest of confidence. Such materials may not be filed publicly in the MDL or in any other case in federal or state court unless the identifying information is redacted. Such materials also may not be placed in the MDL Discovery Repository (as defined in Dkt. 5362), circulated to anyone other than an individual who (i) is actively working on one or more of the Track 12–15 cases or one of the cases covered by paragraph 6.a, (ii) has a need to know, and (iii) otherwise qualifies under the protective orders in this MDL to access “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” materials. To help identify such materials, OptumRx will apply to such materials a confidentiality designation that reads “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – P&T MEMBER IDENTIFYING INFORMATION.”

- a. Access to documents or transcripts produced in the MDL that contain unredacted identifying information of current or former members of OptumRx’s P&T Committee can be provided to Counsel for claimants in opioid litigation pending outside this Litigation in which OptumRx is a Defendant in that litigation, provided that said Counsel agrees to be bound by the terms of this Protective Order and agrees that the same protections will apply equally in the non-MDL litigation. Plaintiffs’ Liaison Counsel must disclose to OptumRx at the end of each month a cumulative list providing the identity of the counsel who have agreed to the terms of this Protective Order, and such list will include the case name(s), number(s), and jurisdiction(s) in which that counsel represents other claimants.
- b. Neither the receipt of information pursuant to this paragraph nor the provision of the certification will in any way be deemed a submission, by the claimant represented by counsel in such outside litigation, to the jurisdiction of this Court

or any other federal court or a waiver of any jurisdictional arguments available to such claimant, provided, however, that any such recipient of documents or information produced under this Order must submit to the jurisdiction of this Court for any violations of this Order.

7. This Protective Order supplements the prior protective orders governing confidential information in this MDL (Dkt. Nos. 441, 1357, 2688), and those prior orders apply to materials designated confidential under paragraph 6 of this Order except where they would conflict with this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DAN AARON POLSTER